

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/814,339	04/01/2004	Julio A. Abusleme	108910-00128	5351	
4372 75	08/30/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ARENT FOX PLLC 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			ZEMEL, IRI	ZEMEL, IRINA SOPJIA	
SUITE 400	TICOT AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		1711		
			DATE MAILED: 08/30/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/814,339	ABUSLEME ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Irina S. Zemel	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or 		educing or simplifying	g the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		P 4 A	. (DTOL 004)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		. timely filed amendr	nent canceling
the non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		vill be entered and an	explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	or appended.		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a land sufficient reasons why the affidate	Notice of Appeal will wit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by One Continue to Shoot	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:
See Continuation Sheet. 12 ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	Л
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)13. ☐ Other:	. (maje	w

Irina S. Zemel Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1711

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: No substantively new arguments have benn presented at this time. The arguments presented at this time are substantially the same as presented and answered in the previous communications. The applicants argument that the examiner interpreted claims a containing only one E polymer misinterpret the examiners position that was explained in the previous office action. The examiner never aleged that the claimed composition is met by only one E homopolymer, but rather it is met by one E/CTFE copolymer which includes, by definition, either two ro three or any number of comonomers. The claimed compositions are still considered to have been unpatentable over the cited art disclosing various polymeric compositions necessarily containing copolymers of E and CTFE and the overal amount of E in the composition as low as 10%.